

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,787	09/05/2003	Denis Alan De Shon	8964 EXAMINER		
7.	590 08/19/2004				
DENIS ALAN DE SHON 7242 SEVEN OAKS AVENUE BATON ROUGE, LA 70806			LAVARIAS, ARNEL C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	(A)
			,787	DE SHON, DENIS ALAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ier	Art Unit	
		Arnel C	. Lavarias	2872	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI ensions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty or period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and ly will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONTI application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 05 Septembe	r 2003.		
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is			
3)□	Since this application is in conditio closed in accordance with the pract	n for allowance exce	ept for formal matte	·	is is
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from			
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>05 Septemble</u> Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected that or declaration is objected.	ber 2003 is/are: a) cection to the drawing(song the correction is req	s) be held in abeyanc uired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office acti	y documents have b y documents have b s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Ap ments have been r Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su		
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings were received on 9/5/03. These drawings are objected to for the following reason(s) as set forth below.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "3" has been used to designate both a grid over a runway and a hatchery. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

Figure 1- Reference numeral 3.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance Application/Control Number: 10/656,787

Art Unit: 2872

with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The Abstract has more than one paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,787

Art Unit: 2872

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

6. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding Claim 1, line 2, the phrase "can be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding Claim 1, line 3, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of 'A hologram projector... which produces holograms...' in lines 1-2. It is noted that a hologram is itself the interference patterns that are recorded onto a holographic recording medium, and not the images generated or projected from the holographic recording medium. Thus, Claim 1 recites a holographic projector that produces a record of the interference

Art Unit: 2872

patterns incident onto the recording medium. However, in reviewing the specification of the disclosure, it is unclear whether the hologram projector is producing the hologram itself, or a visual, three-dimensional image generated from the hologram when the hologram is properly illuminated. The specification of the disclosure mentions both the hologram (See for example Page 1 of the specification of the disclosure) and the images produced by the hologram (See for example Page 2 of the specification of the disclosure) being produced by the hologram projector.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doty, III et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6557482) in view of Bradshaw (U.S. Patent No. 4831765).

Doty, III et al. discloses a hologram projector (See 10 in Figure 1) disposed at airport runways or fisheries ponds which produces holograms (See 26, 46 in Figures 1, 5; col. 4, line 65-col. 5, line 6) to control bird activity, which holograms are shaped to keep birds away from the airport site and eliminate predation on ponds. Doty, III et al. lacks the holograms being shaped as a grid, a target species or a raptor such as a hawk. However, Bradshaw teaches the general

Art Unit: 2872

idea that holograms may be made into the shape of an animal that is useful for scaring or repelling other animals. For example, Bradshaw discloses the example of a holographic fishing lure or decoy (See Figures 1-8; Abstract) for luring, decoying or repelling other fish or game (See Abstract; col. 2, lines 24-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the holograms be shaped as a grid, a target species or a raptor such as a hawk, as generally taught by Bradshaw, in the hologram projector of Doty, III et al., for the purpose of more effectively repelling specific types of animals, birds and game.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0193805A1 to Matheson et al.

Matheson et al. is being cited to evidence a conventional method of repelling or dispersing birds by use of a light beam projector (See Abstract; Figures 1-4). A bright beam of light (See 19 in Figure 1) generated from the projector (See 16 in Figure 1) is directed into the eyes of a bird, the light producing discomfort to the bird. Matheson et al. lacks the light projector producing a hologram.

U.S. Patent No. 6575597 to Cramer et al.

Cramer et al. is being cited to evidence a non-lethal method of repelling or dispersing birds (See for example Abstract; Figures 1, 3, 14), wherein a series of

bright light sources moved in a particular manner to produce a pattern in the vicinity of the birds startles and disorients the birds, thus dispersing them.

Cramer et al. lacks the light sources producing a hologram.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arnel C. Lavarias 8/17/04

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2800